

54 Horsehill Road, Suite 100 Cedar Knolls, NJ 07927 Phone: 973-359-8400

Fax: 973-359-8455

e-mail: ekeller@bowmancg.com

Memorandum

To: Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary

CC: William Sullivan, Esq., Board Attorney

Greer Patras, PP, AICP, MCRP, Board Planner Elnardo Webster, Esq., Applicant's Attorney

From: Eric L. Keller, P.E., P.P., LEED AP

Planning Board Consulting Engineer

Date: August 16, 2020

RE: Vose Avenue & Taylor Place Redevelopment

Application No. 274

Block 1006, Lots 1-3, 9-11, 13 & 14

57-65 South Orange Avenue Preliminary & Final Site Plan

Technical Review #1

BCG Project # 080373-SO-026

We have received the following documents for the purposes of conducting an engineering technical review:

- Preliminary & Final Site Plan entitled "Vose Apts. Urban Renewal, LLC, Lot 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 & 14 Block 1006, South Orange Avenue Redevelopment, Village of South Orange, Essex County, NJ" prepared by Petry Engineering, LLC of Fairfield, New Jersey, containing six (6) sheets, dated April 29, 2020 last revised July 10, 2020;
- Architectural Plans entitled "Preliminary & Final Site Plan, Mixed Use Building, Vose + Taylor Redevelopment Plan, South Orange, New Jersey, Block Number 1006, Lot Numbers 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14", prepared by Marchetto Higgins Stieve of Hoboken, New Jersey containing fourteen (14) sheets, dated July 7, 2020 with no revisions;
- 3. Landscape Plans entitled "Preliminary & Final Site Plan for Taylor & Vose Development, Block 1006, Lots 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 and 14, Village of South Orange, Essex County, New Jersey", prepared by Arterial of Montclair, New Jersey containing nine (9) sheets, dated July 7, 2020 with no revisions;
- 4. Boundary & Topographic Survey of 57-65 South Orange Avenue, 12-24 Vose Avenue, 52-62 Taylor Place and 11 Scotland Road, Lots 1-3, 9-11, 13 & 14 in Block 1006 on Tax Maps of the Township of South Orange Village, Essex County, New Jersey, prepared by Pronesti Surveying, Inc. of Cedar Grove, NJ dated May 23, 2014, last revised June 12, 2019;

Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 2 of 10

- Stormwater Management Report for South Orange Avenue Redevelopment, Block 1006, Lots 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 & 14, Village of South Orange, Essex County, NJ prepared by Petry Engineering, LLC of Fairfield, New Jersey, dated March 26, 2020 with no revisions;
- Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance Plan Manual for South Orange Avenue Redevelopment, Block 1006, Lots 1, 2, 3, 9, 10, 11, 13 & 14, Village of South Orange, Essex County, NJ prepared by Petry Engineering, LLC of Fairfield, New Jersey, dated March 26, 2020 with no revisions;
- 7. Traffic Impact Study for Proposed Mixed-Use Development, Township of South Orange Village, Essex County, New Jersey, prepared by Stonefield Engineering & Design, LLC, dated March 20, 2020 with no revisions;
- 8. Township of South Orange Village Planning Board and Zoning Board Application Form, with Township Application Checklist and Deed of Ownership;
- 9. Township Application Checklist;

The application proposes to demolish the various existing structures located on the tract, including an existing municipal parking lot and constructing a five-story mixed use building with two levels of parking. The proposed uses include 111 multi-family residential units, 9,910 square feet of office space, 12,185 square feet of retail space and 205 parking spaces. The application seeks preliminary and final site plan approval in accordance with the requirements and design standards of the Vose and Taylor Redevelopment Plan.

We find that there is generally sufficient information for a thorough engineering review to be performed. We also participated in a conference call on August 5, 2020 and on August 12, 2020 with the applicant's professionals to discuss technical issues with the various plans and supporting documents. Our technical review comments on the various submitted documents are as follows:

Site Plans

- The cover sheet of the plan set contains a table on the right hand side of the plan which indicates several items which are not in compliance with the Village Code. The plan should either be updated to comply with applicable code sections or testimony presented in support of the variance/deviation;
- 2. Sheet SP-2 shows both the layout plan as well as removal information, the application should consider adding a separate sheet to the plan set identifying all removals and demolition activities. It is unclear in the plan set the extent and type of removals required for the project;
- Sheet SP-2 of the plan set indicates that the existing lights and hydrant are to be removed and returned to the Village. The applicant should identify if the fixtures and hydrant are owned by the Village or the individual utility owners;



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 3 of 10

- 4. The plans should identify the location of all vehicular signage (Stop, Handicap, etc)
- We note that the building footprint shown on the engineering plans has minor differences with the architectural plans. Door locations and other areas should be further coordinated;
- 6. Sheet SP-2 provides a leader and label for the loading area. The loading area should be depicted on the plan;
- 7. The parallel parking stalls on Vose Avenue are varying in length. The minimum length for a parallel parking stall should be 23 feet. Parking stalls should be redistributed, and gore striping provided on the end stalls;
- 8. Street names should be shown bolder on all sheets. Same are not legible;
- 9. We note that the southern curb radius at the intersection of Taylor Place and Vose Avenue is being reduced. As there are no radial dimensions, this reduction could not be calculated. Our office has concerns with large delivery vehicles being able to circulate in this area as this will be the approach for delivery vehicles to access the loading area for this site located on Taylor Place;
- 10. The site plans should show the location of all proposed site improvements such as handicap ramps, cross walks, etc.;
- 11. The plan set should include a logistics plan which contemplates staging and laydown areas during construction. Testimony should be provided with regard to sidewalk and road closures:
- 12. Sheet SP-3 and SP-4 provide a general detail for landing area grading at the proposed entry doors. These areas should be designed as it will affect the cross slope of the sidewalk in these pedestrian circulation areas. A maximum cross slope of two (2%) percent is permitted in all circulation areas;
- 13. Sheet SP-3 and SP-4 should provide top and bottom of curb elevations for all proposed curb, particularly at curb returns and changes in alignment. The plans only provide contours;
- 14. Sheets SP-3 and SP-4 should depict the proposed elevation at all doors and adjacent curb to verify the sidewalk cross slope;
- 15. An existing 72" storm sewer crosses under the proposed building. A profile of same should be included in the plan set along with the proposed floor elevation above same;
- 16. Our office recommends the applicant provide a video inspection of the existing 72" storm sewer prior to construction to verify the condition of same. Once construction is complete, a second video inspection should be performed to verify the condition. Any damage to the culver should be the responsibility of the redeveloper;



- 17. Several notes on sheets refer to converting a Type 'B' inlet to a Type 'A' inlet. We note that a Type 'B' inlet has different dimensions than a Type 'A' inlet. The plans should be revised accordingly to indicate the reconstruction of such inlets;
- 18. The plans propose to convert an existing Type 'B' inlet to Type 'A' at the intersection of Taylor Place and Vose Avenue. This inlet should remain a Type 'B' inlet and be relocated to the curbline to collect runoff prior to entering the intersection. Same should be located prior to the curb taper at the western side of the loading area;
- 19. Sheets SP-3 and SP-4 show trench drains at the entrance to both the lower and upper level of the structured parking. A detail is also provided. The plans should be updated to provide invert and pipe information as well as show where same will discharge;
- 20. Grading should be coordinated between the Site Plans and the Architectural Plans. We note several inconsistencies between floor elevations and proposed grade. Notably along the alley connecting to South Orange Avenue;
- 21. The grades at the doors to the retail space should be further reviewed. The grades at the doors only afford one entrance to be accessible where code requires a minimum 60% of access doors to a given space are to be accessible. The other will either require a step or an internal ramp;
- 22. Additional grading information with regard to offsite areas along the alley should be provided to verify the project will be graded to prevent standing water conditions;
- 23. We note that the survey shows roof leaders discharging from adjacent structures into the existing stormwater conveyance system. Same should be addressed on the plans and in the stormwater management report;
- 24. The plans provide no information with regard to the pipe material, size or invert of the sanitary sewer system within both South Orange Avenue and Taylor Place. Same should be provided along with inverts of the two proposed sanitary sewer laterals;
- 25. The property line should be shown on all sheets;
- 26. Sheet SP-5 should be revised to show all disturbed areas within the right-of-way. We note that the sanitary sewer lateral proposed for South Orange Avenue is not included;
- 27. The Granit Block Curb & Pavement Detail should be revised to show the appropriate pavement thicknesses per Village Code;
- 28. We note that several construction details have not been provided. These include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Pavement Restoration
 - b. Water and Fire Service



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 5 of 10

- c. Sanitary Sewer Lateral
- d. Cleanout Detail
- e. Concrete Curb (for handicap ramp areas)
- f. Traffic Signage
- g. RCP Trench
- 29. Rim elevations for all clean outs/manholes and inspection ports on the stormwater detention system should be provided to verify adequate cover over the detention system;
- 30. It is our understanding that the alley connecting to Taylor Place will only be for access to the parking garage and all deliveries will be via the proposed loading area on Taylor Place. Testimony should be provided with regard to the impacts and routes for deliveries and trash pick-up for the adjacent properties;
- 31. The proposed location for the fire hydrant at the intersection of Vose Avenue and Taylor place is within two feet of the proposed sanitary lateral. Same should be revised to provide additional separation between these utilities;
- 32. The Turning Radius for Upper Parking Deck exhibit should be revised to reflect the latest architectural plan;

Architectural Plans

- 33. Sheet A1 shows proposed grades within the parking garage. Same should be provided on the engineering plans
- 34. Door locations and grades should be coordinated with the engineering plans
- 35. Sheet A1 shows parking stalls which are only 8'-6" wide. Same are not in compliance with the Village Code. Testimony should be provided in support of same;
- 36. The plans provide parking aisles which are 22' wide for 90 degree parking. Same is not in compliance with the Village Code. Testimony should be provided in support of same;
- 37. The plan proposes nine tandem parking stalls. It is presumed that each tandem space will be for the same unit. Testimony should be provided with regard to same. In additional, the plans should include a note to indicate same;
- 38. Tandem parking stalls are proposed along a building wall in two locations. Our office has concerns with regard to the maneuvering into and out of same as well as access to the side of the vehicle;
- 39. Sheet A1 depicts Retail space having access to the Residential Lobby. Testimony should be provided with regard to security as this space will be open to the public;



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 6 of 10

- 40. Testimony should be provided with regard to trash collection and pick up;
- 41. Our office has concerns with the parking stalls surrounding the entry drive on Sheet A2. The stall to the south of the drive has no island to separate the parking stall from traffic and is not easily accessed upon entry. This stall will create conflicts should a vehicle entering the garage try to access this space. The two spaces to the north have limited visibility to oncoming traffic entering the garage. This area should be further reviewed;
- 42. Sheet A2-shows two parking stalls which have no island next to same. We note that vehicles will be backing out of adjacent parking stalls which are perpendicular to same. This coupled with the reduced aisle width will create a higher potential for damage to vehicles. Our office recommends this area be further reviewed and revised to provide protection to the parked vehicles;
- 43. Sheet A2 shows outdoor seating areas within the alley connecting to South Orange Avenue. Testimony should be provided with regard to emergency egress as there is a stairwell north of the proposed seating:
- 44. Sheet A3 shows a proposed courtyard above the parking levels. We note that while a majority of this area will be surrounded by both office and residential units, a portion will be visible from adjacent properties. Testimony should be provided with regard to any lighting or noise this area may have on adjacent parcels;
- 45. We note that a kitchen exhaust duct is provided on Sheet A2. Same should be shown on all levels above this area:
- 46. Sheet A7.5 depicts a proposed generator. Testimony should be provided with regard to any noise impacts same may have on adjacent properties, anticipated exercising schedule, and if any NJDEP permits are required for same;
- 47. Sheet A9 depicts a Pedestrian Warning Device. Details of same should be provided to the Board;
- 48. The plans propose to install the electric transformer within the building. Testimony should that the applicant has verified this is permitted by the utility company and the areas as shown comply with applicable requirements;

Stormwater Management

- 49. The stormwater management report references the Essex County Soil Survey. Appropriate sections of same should be included in the report;
- 50. A Point of Analysis should be provided for each drainage area;
- 51. The Proposed Drainage Area Plan does not appear to show the alley as being within the analysis area. The plan should be updated to show all areas contributory to each area. This includes offsite areas:



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 7 of 10

- 52. The flows should be broken down by each drainage area and then summarized as a total. Confirmation is needed to verify impacts to existing stormwater infrastructure;
- 53. The stormwater calculations should be updated to show a 0.10% slope on the detention system;
- 54. The weir coefficient on the outlet control structure should be revised to 3.2 (as required in the RSIS);
- 55. We note the survey shows roof leaders from adjacent buildings connecting to the conveyance system on the subject property. The stormwater management design shall account for same and other contributory offsite areas;

Landscape Plans

- 56. Sheet L-101 depicts hardscape features such as trash receptacles and benches. Testimony should be provided with regard to maintenance and trash collection in these areas;
- 57. The plans depict lighting fixtures on the property line and against existing buildings. Testimony should be provided with regard to the constructability and impacts upon adjacent parcels. In addition, testimony should also be provided with regard to ownership and operation times of the light fixtures;
- 58. Ground mounted lighting and planters are proposed along the alley connecting to Taylor Place. Testimony and applicable details should be provided as to how these improvements will be protected from the public;
- 59. We note that areas on Sheet L-102 provide dark and bright locations. The uniformity of the lighting should be further reviewed to provide a more uniform lighting for the site;
- 60. We note areas on adjacent properties where the light levels may have an impact upon same. The plan should be revised to eliminate glare onto adjacent properties;
- 61. The mounting height should be included on the Luminaire Schedule;
- 62. Sheet L-104 depicts a fifth floor terrace plan. Testimony should be provided with regard to any lighting proposed on this terrace and any impact upon adjacent properties;
- 63. Sheet L-500 should be revised to show Class B concrete for all details. We note several details do not provide a concrete strength;
- 64. Sheet L-501 should be revised to show the appropriate curb ramp;
- 65. A detail should be provided for how the proposed sidewalk/pavers that will abut the granite block curb;
- 66. Testimony should be provided where deviations may exist between the redevelopment plan and the streetscape design. We note that Bonded Rubber Tree Wells are proposed



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 8 of 10

where tree grates are required per the redevelopment plan. If this deviation is granted, the Board may consider requiring long term maintenance of this and other streetscape features to be provided by the applicant;

Traffic Impact Study

- 67. Traffic counts were performed in late February and early March 2020 and are representative days and times for a traffic evaluation. The times of the counts on each day are also representative of the peak commuter hours (weekdays) and retail activity (Saturday);
- 68. As described in the report, the studied intersections generally operate at acceptable levels of service during each of the three peak hours analyzed, except for the southbound left turn on Scotland Road during the evening peak hour;
- 69. Background traffic growth for the 2023 No Build conditions takes into consideration both regional growth through the use of an annual growth factor of two percent (2%) per year but also traffic generated by two other approved developments, the Landmark Restaurant at 101 South Orange Avenue and The Learning Experience child care center located at 109-115 South Orange Avenue. We note that the background growth meet or exceed the growth rates published by the NJDOT in their Annual Background Growth Rate Table; which results in conservative (or higher) future traffic volume calculations. We note that the 4th and Valley redevelopment project was not included in these no build traffic volume projections and we request testimony as to the potential impacts of this project;
- 70. The 2023 No Build capacity analyses indicate that there are no changes to the levels of service with generally nominal increases in the average delay. The only exception is that the southbound left turn movement from Scotland Road is calculated to degrade to a LOS E during the AM peak hour due to general traffic increases at this intersection;
- 71. The trip generation calculations are based upon the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition which is the current edition and are accurately calculated. The use of the "Shopping Center" land use code is a conservative approach as the proposed retail spaces are generally small spaces and will likely attract more neighborhood convenience and personal service type tenants, which would have a lower trip generation;
- 72. The traffic report applies various internal trip reductions which account for trip-making among the various proposed uses as well as mass transit reductions for the residential units based upon the proximity of the site to rail and bus service. Pass-by trip reductions are also applied for the retail use, however it is not clear what pass-by rates were used for the PM and Saturday periods and we request testimony be provided. Regardless, we believe these various trip reductions are reasonable and appropriate for this type of transit oriented, mixed use development. It is also likely that some of the retail oriented trips will be linked with other retail opportunities in the area and that patrons to the proposed retail tenants will park elsewhere in the downtown area and will walk to this



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 9 of 10

- site. Therefore, their trip generation calculations are conservative and more than adequately address the additional traffic to be generated;
- 73. We request that additional information be provided on the trip assignment of the project's traffic for the residential component for the PM and Saturday conditions as it appears that the driveway volumes are lower than expected based upon the basic trip generation less the transit reduction. Any internal trip capture with the residential use would be as a walk trip not a vehicular trip;
- 74. The 2023 Build capacity analyses indicate that there are generally no changes to the levels of service with generally nominal increases in the average delay. The exception is that the southbound left turn movement from Scotland Road is calculated to degrade during the PM peak hour with an increase in average delay of 15.1 seconds and during the Saturday peak hour to LOS E. A mitigation plan is proposed to shift three (3) seconds of green time to this movement which will improve the levels of service. The report should be amended to include the 2023 Mitigation Condition for the Saturday peak hour which was not provided. We also request that the applicant contact Essex County and determine if they would be agreeable to this modification as South Orange Avenue is under the County's jurisdiction;
- 75. The report indicates that the queue along southbound Vose Avenue currently extends past the site's driveways and this will continue under Build conditions. Testimony should be provided as to any means to reduce the length of queue that occurs along this street to minimize the impacts on the redevelopment project:
- 76. We request clarity as to whether the bike storage is solely for residents or if it is available for the office/retail tenants of the building;
- 77. While the report states that there will be an increase of 23 parking spaces on the public parking level from what currently exists in the surface lot, the retail and office components of the proposed redevelopment require 79 spaces of the 80 spaces provided. There is no discussion of the existing parking occupancy of this municipal lot and where these existing users will be accommodated. A calculation of the parking demand for the existing buildings on-site (excluding the house and child care center which appear to have their own parking facilities) should be prepared and compared to the proposed parking demand per Township code requirements;
- 78. No parking occupancy studies of the existing Taylor Place lot were provided in the report. This lot is restricted for short-term users (2 hour limit) with no permit parking. We reviewed the parking occupancy data for this lot which was collected in November and December 2016 as part of the redevelopment of the former Village Hall. However, these data only address evening conditions (6:00 PM to 9:00 PM) on a Thursday, Friday and Saturday; and on a Sunday from 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM. These data indicate that the Taylor Place lot operates at approximately 90 percent capacity (57 available spaces) on Thursday and Friday evenings; 50 percent on a Saturday evening and 42 percent midday on Sunday. Testimony is to be provided as to the disposition of current parkers in this lot;



Ojetti Davis, Planning Board Secretary Vose Avenue and Taylor Place Redevelopment August 13, 2020 Page 10 of 10

- 79. While it is stated that the tandem spaces in the upper parking level would be reserved for office tenants, this limits their general utility as they would have to be reserved and therefore, may not be used on any given day. Additional testimony on the operational characteristics of this spaces should be provided;
- 80. We are concerned with the narrowness of the residential access (20 feet) and the proximity of the parking spaces to the entry point. This driveway has a fairly short throat from the curb line of Vose Avenue to the first parking spaces which will make maneuvering into these spaces difficult. We request that a turning template diagram be prepared showing how a car will enter and exit the first parking spaces;
- 81. There are a number of parking spaces that are adjacent to walls with no buffer area. The utility of these spaces and the ability to get into and out of these spaces should be addressed:
- 82. Parking spaces that are perpendicular to other parking spaces should have a curb or wheel stop to prevent a car from pulling too far forward and impacting the other parking space;
- 83. We note that the proposed retail spaces fronting on South Orange Avenue do not have direct access to the upper parking level. Testimony should be provided as to the need for a secondary access to these tenant spaces and the viability of access into the garage, at least for employees;

Any revised plans and other documents should be accompanied by a cover letter responding individually to each of the comments presented in this review letter. The cover letter should also outline those changes to the plans that were required, as well as those not readily apparent.

P:\080373 - South Orange\080373-SO-026 (ENG) - 57-65 South Orange Ave\Admin\Correspondence\Sent\2020-08-10 M Vose & Taylor-080373-SO-026_Tech Review 1.docx

